

Application No.: 09/839,066  
Reply to Office Action of: March 22, 2007

**REMARKS**

The claims pending in this case are claims 31-39 and 42-50, all of which are amended in scope as a result of amending the independent claims 36 and 47. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested based on the amendments to the claims and the arguments presented below.

With regard to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. Section 102, the Examiner is requested to reconsider the distinctions urged in the last action. Specifically in this regard, the prior remarks indicated the absence of format conversion as well as the fact that conversion is not needed due to the content as it exists. It is also noted that as defined in the claims, the third server is the source of the content.

As indicated in the last office action pertinent hereto, the Examiner contended that U.S. Patent No. 6,937,588 to Park met the limitations of the claims as framed. In that regard, the claims have been amended in an effort to more clearly state the specific structure to which the arguments are applicable.

Reconsideration of the claims in the present form is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BERRY & ASSOCIATES P.C.

Dated: December 2, 2007

By: /Byard G. Nilsson/  
Byard G. Nilsson  
Registration No. 17,350

9255 Sunset Blvd., Suite 810  
Los Angeles, CA 90069  
(310) 247-2860